arXiv:1510.08201v1 [math.OC] 28 Oct 2015

Manuscript submitted to
AIMS' Journals
Volume **X**, Number **0X**, XX **200X**

doi:10.3934/xx.xx.xx.xx

pp. **X–XX**

AN EXTENSION OF HYBRID METHOD WITHOUT EXTRAPOLATION STEP TO EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS

Van Hieu Dang*

Department of Mathematics, Vietnam National University
334 - Nguyen Trai Street, Thanh Xuan, Ha Noi, Viet Nam

(Communicated by the associate editor name)

Abstract. In this paper, we introduce a new hybrid algorithm for solving equilibrium problems. The algorithm combines the extragradient method and the hybrid (outer approximation) method. In this algorithm, only an optimization program is solved at each iteration without the extra-steps like as in the extragradient method and the Armijo linesearch method. A specially constructed half-space in the hybrid method is the reason for the absence of an optimization program in our algorithm. The strong convergence theorem is established and several numerical experiments are implemented to illustrate the convergence of the algorithm and compare it with others.

1. **Introduction.** The equilibrium problem (EP) [2] which was considered as the Ky Fan inequality [15] is very general in the sense that it includes, as special cases, many mathematical models such as: variational inequalities, fixed point problems, optimization problems, Nash equilirium point problems, complementarity problems, see [2, 20] and the references therein. Many methods have been proposed for solving EPs [1, 2, 5, 9, 12, 19, 20, 28, 34, 37]. The most solution approximations to EPs are often based on the resolvent of equilibrium bifunction (see, for instance [5]) in which a strongly monotone regularization equilibrium problem (REP) is solved at each iterative step. It is also called the proximal point method (PPM). This method was first introduced by Martinet [22] for variational inequalities, and then it was extended by Rockafellar [30] for finding a zero point of a monotone operator. In 2000, Konnov [16] further extended PPM to Ky Fan inequalities for monotone or weakly monotone bifunctions.

A special case of EP is the variational inequality problem (VIP). The projection plays an important role in constrained optimization problems. The simplest method for VIPs is the gradient projection method in which only a projection on the feasible set is computed. However, in order to obtain the convergence, the method requires the restrictive assumption that operators are strongly (or inverse strongly) monotone. To overcome this, Korpelevich [17] introduced the extragradient method (double projection method) where two metric projections onto the feasible set be implemented at each iteration. The convergence of the extragradient method was proved under the weaker assumption that operators are only monotone (even, pseudomonotone) and L - Lipschitz continuous. Some extragradient-like

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 65K10, 65K15; Secondary: 90C33.
Key words and phrases. Extragradient method, equilibrium problem, hybrid method.
* Corresponding author: dv.hieu83@gmail.com.

algorithms proposed for solving VIPs can be found in [3, 4, 14, 24, 25] and the references therein. However, the projection is only found easily if the constrained set has a simple structure, for instance, as balls, hyperplanes or halfspaces. So, several modifications of the extragradient method have been proposed in various ways [6, 7, 10, 21]. For instance, the authors in [6] replaced the second projection onto the feasible set in the extragradient method by one onto a half-space and proposed the subgradient extragradient method for VIPs in Hilbert spaces.

In recent years, Korpelevich's extragradient method has been naturally extended to EPs for monotone (more general, pseudomonotone) and Lipschitz-type continuous bifunctions and widely studied both theoretically and algorithmically [9, 13, 26, 27, 28, 33, 36]. In the extended extragradient methods to EPs, we need to solve two strongly convex optimization programs on a closed convex constrained set (see, Algorithms 3, 4 and 5 in Section 2). They are generalizations of two projections in Korpelevich's extragradient method. The advantage of the extragradient method is that two optimization programs are solved at each iteration which seems to be numerically easier than the non-linear inequality (or REP) in PPM. However, this might still be costly and affects the efficiency of the used method if the structure of feasible set and equilibrium bifunction are complex. Moreover, we are not aware of any modification of the extragradient method for EPs.

In this paper, motivated by the *hybrid method without the extrapolation step* [21] for variational inequalities, the *extragradient method* [28] and the *hybrid method*, we have proposed a new hybrid algorithm for solving EPs. In this algorithm, by constructing a specially cutting - halfspace in the hybrid method, we only need to solve a strongly convex optimization program onto the feasible set at each iteration. The absence of an optimization program in our algorithm (compare with the extragradient method) can be considered an improvement of the results in [9, 13, 18, 26, 27, 33, 36].

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 introduce our algorithm and some related works. In Section 3, we collect some definitions and preliminary results used in the paper. Section 4 deals with proving the convergence of the algorithm. Some applications of our algorithm to Gato differentiable EPs and multivalued variational inequalities are presented in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6 we provide some numerical examples to illustrate the convergence of the proposed algorithm and compare it with others.

2. Algorithm and related works.

Let H be a real Hilbert space, C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and $f : C \times C \to \Re$ be a bifunction with $f(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$. The equilibrium problem (EP) for the bifunction f on C is to find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$f(x^*, y) \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C. \tag{1}$$

The solution set of EP (1) is denoted by $EP(f, C)$. In this paper, we introduce the following hybrid algorithm for solving EP (1).

Algorithm 1 (An extended hybrid algorithm without extrapolation step)**.**

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = \underset{y \in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n}(x_0), \end{cases}$$

where C_n, Q_n are two specially constructed half-spaces (see Algorithm 1 in Section 4 below).

In the special case, $f(x,y) = \langle A(x), y-x\rangle$ where $A : C \to H$ is a nonlinear operator then EP becomes the following variational inequality problem (VIP): Find $x^* \in C$ such that

$$\langle A(x^*), y - x^*\rangle \geq 0, \ \forall y \in C. \tag{2}$$

Then, our algorithm (Algorithm 1) becomes the following *hybrid algorithm without extrapolation step* which was introduced in [21] for VIPs.

Algorithm 2 (The hybrid algorithm without extrapolation step)**.**

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = P_C\left(x_n - \lambda A(y_n)\right), \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n}(x_0). \end{cases}$$

In 2008, Quoc et al. [28] extended Korpelevich's extragradient method to EPs in Euclidean spaces in which two optimization programs are solved at each iteration. Recently, Nguyen et al. [27] also have done in that direction and proposed the general extragradient method which consists of solving three optimization programs on the feasible set. In Euclidean spaces, the convergence of the sequences generated by the extragradient methods [27, 28] was proved under the assumptions of pseudomonotonicity and Lipschitz-type continuity of equilibrium bifunctions. The problem which arises in infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces is how to design an algorithm which provides the strong convergence. In 2012, Vuong et al. [36] used the extragradient method in [28] and the hybrid (outer approximation) method to obtain the following strong convergence hybrid algorithm

Algorithm 3.

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(x_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ z_n = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ C_n = \left\{z \in C : ||z_n - z||^2 \leq ||x_n - z||^2\right\}, \\ Q_n = \left\{z \in C : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \leq 0\right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n}(x_0). \end{cases}$$

In 2013, another hybrid algorithm [26, Algorithm 1] was also proposed in this direction as

Algorithm 4.

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(x_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ z_n = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ C_{n+1} = \left\{z \in C_n : ||z_n - z||^2 \leq ||x_n - z||^2\right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}(x_0) \end{cases}$$

The authors in [26, 36] proved that the sequences $\{x_n\}$ generated by Algorithms 3 and 4 converges strongly to $P_{EP(f,C)}(x_0)$. Note that, the set C_{n+1} in Algorithm 4, in general, is not easy to construct.

In 2014, in order to avoid the condition of the Lipschitz-type continuity the bifunction f, Dinh et al. [9] replaced the second optimization problem in the extragradient method by the Armijo linesearch technique and obtained the following hybrid algorithm

Algorithm 5.

$$\begin{cases} y_n = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(x_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}, \\ m_n \text{ is the smallest integer number such that} \\ \begin{cases} z_n = (1-\eta^{m_n})x_n + \eta^{m_n} y_n, \\ f(z_n, y_n) + \frac{1}{2\lambda}||x_n - y_n||^2 \le 0, \end{cases} \\ u_n = P_C(x_n - \sigma_n g_n), \\ C_n = \left\{z \in C : ||u_n - z||^2 \le ||x_n - z||^2\right\}, \\ Q_n = \left\{z \in C : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \le 0\right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n}(x_0), \end{cases}$$

where $g_n \in \partial f_2(z_n, z_n)$ and $\sigma_n = -\eta^{m_n} f(z_n, y_n)/(1-\eta^{m_n})||g_n||^2$. Arcording to Algorithm 5, we still have to solve an optimization program on C for y_n, find an optimization direction for z_n and compute a projection onto C for u_n at each step. We emphasize that the projection $P_{C_n \cap Q_n}(x_0)$ in Algorithms 3, 4 and 5 deals with the constrained set C, while the sets C_n and Q_n in Algorithm 1 are two half-spaces, and so x_{n+1} can be expressed by an explicit formula (see, for instance [5, 31]).

3. Preliminaries.

In this section, we recall some definitions and results for further use. Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. We begin with some concepts of the monotonicity of a bifunction (see [2, 20] for more details).

Definition 3.1. A bifunction $f : C \times C \to \Re$ is said to be

i. strongly monotone on C if there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that
$$f(x, y) + f(y, x) \le -\gamma||x - y||^2, \ \forall x, y \in C;$$

ii. monotone on C if
$$f(x, y) + f(y, x) \le 0, \ \forall x, y \in C;$$

iii. pseudomonotone on C if
$$f(x, y) \ge 0 \Longrightarrow f(y, x) \le 0, \ \forall x, y \in C;$$

iv. Lipschitz-type continuous on C if there exist two positive constants c_1, c_2 such that
$$f(x, y) + f(y, z) \ge f(x, z) - c_1||x - y||^2 - c_2||y - z||^2, \ \forall x, y, z \in C.$$

From the definitions above, it is clear that a strongly monotone bifunction is monotone and a monotone bifunction is pseudomonotone, i.e., $i. \Longrightarrow ii. \Longrightarrow iii.$ For solving EP (1), we assume that the bifunction f satisfies the following conditions:

(A1). f is pseudomonotone on C and $f(x, x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$;
(A2). f is Lipschitz-type continuous on C with the constants c_1, c_2;
(A3). f is weakly continuous on $C \times C$;
(A4). $f(x, .)$ is convex and subdifferentiable on C for every fixed $x \in C$.

It is easy to show that under the assumptions (A1), (A3), (A4), the solution set $EP(f, C)$ of EP (1) is closed and convex (see, for instance [28]). In this paper, we assume that the solution set $EP(f, C)$ is nonempty.

The metric projection $P_C : H \to C$ is defined by

$$P_C x = \arg\min\{\|y - x\| : y \in C\}.$$

Since C is nonempty, closed and convex, $P_C x$ exists and is unique. It is also known that P_C has the following characteristic properties, see [10] for more details.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $P_C : H \to C$ be the metric projection from H onto C. Then*

(i) P_C is firmly nonexpansive, i.e.,

$$\langle P_C x - P_C y, x - y\rangle \geq \|P_C x - P_C y\|^2, \ \forall x, y \in H.$$

(ii) For all $x \in C, y \in H$,

$$\|x - P_C y\|^2 + \|P_C y - y\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2. \tag{3}$$

(iii) $z = P_C x$ if and only if

$$\langle x - z, z - y\rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \tag{4}$$

Let $g : C \to \Re$ be a function. The subdifferential of g at x is defined by

$$\partial g(x) = \{w \in H : g(y) - g(x) \geq \langle w, y - x\rangle, \ \forall y \in C\}.$$

We recall that the normal cone of C at $x \in C$ is defined by

$$N_C(x) = \{w \in H : \langle w, y - x\rangle \leq 0, \ \forall y \in C\}.$$

Definition 3.3 (Weakly lower semicontinuity)**.** A function $\varphi : H \to \Re$ is called weakly lower semicontinuous at $x \in H$ if for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in H converges weakly to x then

$$\varphi(x) \leq \lim \inf_{n\to\infty} \varphi(x_n).$$

It is well-known that the functional $\varphi(x) := ||x||^2$ is convex and weakly lower semicontinuous. Any Hilbert space has the Kadec-Klee property (see, for instance [11]), i.e., if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in H such that $x_n \rightharpoonup x$ and $||x_n|| \to ||x||$ then $x_n \to x$ as $n \to \infty$.

Finally, we have the following technical lemma.

Lemma 3.4. [21] *Let $\{\alpha_n\}$, $\{\beta_n\}$, $\{\gamma_n\}$ be nonnegative real sequences, α, $\beta \in \Re$ and for all $n \geq 0$ the following inequality holds*

$$\alpha_n \leq \beta_n + \beta\gamma_n - \alpha\gamma_{n+1}.$$

If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n < +\infty$ and $\alpha > \beta \geq 0$ then $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0$.

4. **Convergence analysis.** In this section, we present our algorithm for more details and prove its convergence.

Algorithm 1 (An extended hybrid algorithm without extrapolation step)**. Initialization.** Chose $x_0 = x_1 \in H$, $y_0 = y_1 \in C$ and set $C_0 = Q_0 = H$. The parameters λ and k satisfy the following conditions

$$0 < \lambda < \frac{1}{2(c_1 + c_2)}, \ k > \frac{1}{1 - 2\lambda(c_1 + c_2)}.$$

Step 1. Solve a strongly convex program

$$y_{n+1} = \underset{y\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\}.$$

If $y_{n+1} = y_n = x_n$ then stop.

Step 2. Compute $x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n}(x_0)$, where

$$C_n = \left\{z \in H : ||y_{n+1} - z||^2 \leq ||x_n - z||^2 + \epsilon_n\right\},$$
$$Q_n = \{z \in H : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \leq 0\},$$

and $\epsilon_n = k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + 2\lambda c_2||y_n - y_{n-1}||^2 - (1 - \frac{1}{k} - 2\lambda c_1)||y_{n+1} - y_n||^2$. Set $n := n + 1$ and go back **Step 1.**

We have the following result.

Lemma 4.1. *If Algorithm 1 finishes at the iteration step $n < \infty$, then $x_n \in EP(f, C)$.*

Proof. Assume that $y_{n+1} = y_n = x_n$. From the definition of y_{n+1},

$$x_n = \arg\min\left\{\lambda_n f(x_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2 : y \in C\right\}.$$

Thus, from [19, Proposition 2.1], one has $x_n \in EP(f, C)$. The proof of Lemma 4.1 is complete. □

We need the lemma below which is an infinite version of Theorem 27.4 in [29] and is similarly proved by using Moreau-Rockafellar Theorem to find the subdifferential of a sum of a convex function g and the indicator function δ_C to C in a real Hilbert space.

Lemma 4.2. *Let C be a convex subset of a real Hilbert space H and $g : C \to \Re$ be a convex and subdifferentiable function on C. Then, x^* is a solution to the following convex optimization problem*

$$\min\{g(x) : x \in C\}$$

if and only if $0 \in \partial g(x^*) + N_C(x^*)$, where $\partial g(.)$ denotes the subdifferential of g and $N_C(x^*)$ is the normal cone of C at x^*.

Based on Lemma 4.2, we obtain the following central lemma which is used to prove the convergence of Algorithm 1.

Lemma 4.3. *Assume that $x^* \in EP(f, C)$. Let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm 1. Then, there holds the relation*

$$||y_{n+1} - x^*||^2 \leq ||x_n - x^*||^2 + \epsilon_n,$$

where ϵ_n is defined by Step 2 of Algorithm 1.

Proof. From the definition of y_{n+1} and Lemma 4.2,

$$0 \in \partial_2\left(\lambda f(y_n, y) + \frac{1}{2}||x_n - y||^2\right)(y_{n+1}) + N_C(y_{n+1}).$$

Thus, there exist $w \in \partial_2 f(y_n, y_{n+1}) := \partial f(y_n, .)(y_{n+1})$ and $\bar{w} \in N_C(y_{n+1})$ such that

$$\lambda w + y_{n+1} - x_n + \bar{w} = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, y - y_{n+1}\rangle = \lambda\langle w, y_{n+1} - y\rangle + \langle \bar{w}, y_{n+1} - y\rangle, \ \forall y \in C.$$

This together with the definition of N_C implies that

$$\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, y - y_{n+1}\rangle \geq \lambda\langle w, y_{n+1} - y\rangle, \ \forall y \in C.$$

By $w \in \partial_2 f(y_n, y_{n+1})$,

$$f(y_n, y) - f(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq \langle w, y - y_{n+1}\rangle, \ \forall y \in C.$$

From the last two inequalities, we obtain

$$\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, y - y_{n+1}\rangle \geq \lambda(f(y_n, y_{n+1}) - f(y_n, y)), \ \forall y \in C. \tag{5}$$

Similarly, by replacing $n+1$ by n, we also have

$$\langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y - y_n\rangle \geq \lambda(f(y_{n-1}, y_n) - f(y_{n-1}, y)), \ \forall y \in C. \tag{6}$$

Substituting $y = y_{n+1}$ onto (6) and a straightforward computation yield

$$\lambda\left(f(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) - f(y_{n-1}, y_n)\right) \geq \langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y_n - y_{n+1}\rangle. \tag{7}$$

Substituting $y = x^*$ onto (5) we also obtain

$$\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1}\rangle \geq \lambda\left(f(y_n, y_{n+1}) - f(y_n, x^*)\right). \tag{8}$$

Since $x^* \in EP(f, C)$ and $y_n \in C$, $f(x^*, y_n) \geq 0$. Thus, from the pseudomonotonicity of f one has $f(y_n, x^*) \leq 0$. This together with (8) implies that

$$\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1}\rangle \geq \lambda f(y_n, y_{n+1}). \tag{9}$$

By the Lipschitz-type continuity of f,

$$f(y_{n-1}, y_n) + f(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq f(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) - c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 - c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2.$$

Thus,

$$f(y_n, y_{n+1}) \geq f(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) - f(y_{n-1}, y_n) - c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 - c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2. \tag{10}$$

The relations (9) and (10) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1}\rangle \quad \geq \quad & \lambda\left\{f(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) - f(y_{n-1}, y_n)\right\} \\ & -\lambda c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 - \lambda c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2.\end{aligned}$$

This together with the relation (7) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1}\rangle \quad \geq \quad & \langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y_n - y_{n+1}\rangle - \lambda c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 \\ & -\lambda c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}2\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1}\rangle \quad - \quad & 2\langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y_n - y_{n+1}\rangle \geq -2\lambda c_1||y_{n-1} - y_n||^2 \\ & -2\lambda c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2.\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

We have the following fact

$$\begin{aligned}& 2\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1}\rangle = ||x_n - x^*||^2 - ||y_{n+1} - x^*||^2 - ||x_n - y_{n+1}||^2 \\ = \; & ||x_n - x^*||^2 - ||y_{n+1} - x^*||^2 - ||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 - 2\langle x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} - y_{n+1}\rangle \\ & -||x_{n-1} - y_{n+1}||^2 \\ = \; & ||x_n - x^*||^2 - ||y_{n+1} - x^*||^2 - ||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 - 2\langle x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} - y_{n+1}\rangle \\ & -||x_{n-1} - y_n||^2 - 2\langle x_{n-1} - y_n, y_n - y_{n+1}\rangle - ||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2.\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

By the triangle, Cauchy-Schwarz and Cauchy inequalities,

$$\begin{aligned}- \quad & 2\langle x_n - x_{n-1}, x_{n-1} - y_{n+1}\rangle \leq 2||x_n - x_{n-1}||||x_{n-1} - y_{n+1}|| \\ & \leq 2||x_n - x_{n-1}||||x_{n-1} - y_n|| + 2||x_n - x_{n-1}||||y_n - y_{n+1}|| \\ & \leq ||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + ||x_{n-1} - y_n||^2 + k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + \frac{1}{k}||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (12) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}& 2\langle y_{n+1} - x_n, x^* - y_{n+1}\rangle \leq ||x_n - x^*||^2 - ||y_{n+1} - x^*||^2 + k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 \\ & + 2\langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y_n - y_{n+1}\rangle + \left(\frac{1}{k} - 1\right)||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2.\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Thus,

$$2\langle y_{n+1}-x_n, x^*-y_{n+1}\rangle - 2\langle y_n - x_{n-1}, y_n - y_{n+1}\rangle \leq ||x_n - x^*||^2$$
$$-||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2 + k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + \left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right)||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2. \quad (14)$$

Combining (11) and (14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -2\lambda c_1||y_{n-1}-y_n||^2 &- 2\lambda c_2||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2 \leq ||x_n - x^*||^2 - ||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2 \\ &+ k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + \left(\frac{1}{k}-1\right)||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from the definition of ϵ_n we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||y_{n+1}-x^*||^2 &\leq ||x_n - x^*||^2 + k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + 2\lambda c_1||y_{n-1}-y_n||^2 \\ &\quad - \left(1 - \frac{1}{k} - 2\lambda c_2\right)||y_n - y_{n+1}||^2. \\ &= ||x_n - x^*||^2 + \epsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.3 is proved. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm 1. Then, there hold the following relations*

(*i*) *$EP(f,C) \subset C_n \cap Q_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.*
(*ii*) $\lim_{n\to\infty}||x_{n+1}-x_n|| = \lim_{n\to\infty}||y_n - x_n|| = \lim_{n\to\infty}||y_{n+1}-y_n|| = 0$.

Proof. (i). From the definitions of C_n and Q_n, we see that they are the half-spaces. Thus, C_n and Q_n are closed and convex for all $n \geq 0$. Lemma 4.3 and the definition of C_n ensure that $EP(f,C) \subset C_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. It is clear that $EP(f,C) \subset C_0 \cap Q_0$. Assume that $EP(f,C) \subset C_n \cap Q_n$ for some $n \geq 0$. From $x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n}(x_0)$ and Lemma 3.2(iii) we see that $\langle z - x_{n+1}, x_0 - x_{n+1}\rangle \leq 0$ for all $z \in C_n \cap Q_n$. This is also true for all $z \in F$ because $EP(f,C) \subset C_n \cap Q_n$. From the definition of Q_{n+1}, $EP(f,C) \subset Q_{n+1}$ or $EP(f,C) \subset C_{n+1} \cap Q_{n+1}$. By the induction, $EP(f,C) \subset C_n \cap Q_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $EP(f,C)$ is nonempty, so $C_n \cap Q_n$ is. Thus, $P_{C_n \cap Q_n}(x_0)$ is well-defined.
(ii). From the definition of Q_n and Lemma 3.2(iii.), $x_n = P_{Q_n}(x_0)$. Thus, from Lemma 3.2(ii) we have

$$||z - x_n||^2 \leq ||z - x_0||^2 - ||x_n - x_0||^2, \ \forall z \in Q_n. \quad (15)$$

Substituting $z = x^\dagger := P_{EP(f,C))}(x_0) \in Q_n$ onto (15), one has

$$||x^\dagger - x_0||^2 - ||x_n - x_0||^2 \geq ||x^\dagger - x_n||^2 \geq 0. \quad (16)$$

Thus, the sequence $\{||x_n - x_0||\}$, therefore $\{x_n\}$, are bounded. Substituting $z = x_{n+1} \in Q_n$ onto (15), one also has

$$0 \leq ||x_{n+1} - x_n||^2 \leq ||x_{n+1} - x_0||^2 - ||x_n - x_0||^2. \quad (17)$$

This implies that $\{||x_n - x_0||\}$ is non-decreasing. Hence, there exists the limit of $\{||x_n - x_0||\}$. By (17),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{K} ||x_{n+1} - x_n||^2 \leq ||x_{K+1} - x_0||^2 - ||x_1 - x_0||^2, \ \forall K \geq 1.$$

Passing the limit in the last inequality as $K \to \infty$, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n||^2 < +\infty. \tag{18}$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0. \tag{19}$$

From the definition of C_n and $x_{n+1} \in C_n$,

$$||y_{n+1} - x_{n+1}||^2 \leq ||x_n - x_{n+1}||^2 + \epsilon_n. \tag{20}$$

Set $\alpha_n = ||y_{n+1} - x_{n+1}||^2$, $\beta_n = ||x_n - x_{n+1}||^2 + k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2$, $\gamma_n = ||y_n - y_{n-1}||^2$, $\beta = 2\lambda c_2$, and $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{k} - 2\lambda c_1$. From the definition of ϵ_n, $\epsilon_n = k||x_n - x_{n-1}||^2 + \beta\gamma_n - \alpha\gamma_{n+1}$. Thus, from (20),

$$\alpha_n \leq \beta_n + \beta\gamma_n - \alpha\gamma_{n+1}. \tag{21}$$

From the hypothesises of λ, k and (18), we see that $\alpha > \beta \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n < +\infty$. Lemma 3.4 and (21) imply that $\alpha_n \to 0$, or

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_{n+1} - x_{n+1}|| = 0. \tag{22}$$

This together with the relation (19) and the inequality $||y_{n+1} - y_n|| \leq ||y_{n+1} - x_{n+1}|| + ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + ||x_n - y_n||$ implies that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} ||y_{n+1} - y_n|| = 0. \tag{23}$$

In addition, the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is also bounded because of the boundedness of $\{x_n\}$. Lemma 5.2 is proved.

□

Thanks to Lemma 4.1, we see that if Algorithm 1 terminates at the iterate n then a solution of EP can be found. Otherwise, if Algorithm 1 does not terminate then we have the following main result.

Theorem 4.5. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Assume that the bifunction f satisfies all conditions* (A1) – (A4). *In addition the solution set $EP(f, C)$ is nonempty. Then, the sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{y_n\}$ generated by Algorithm 1 converge strongly to $P_{EP(f,C)}(x_0)$.*

Proof. From Lemma 5.2, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Assume that p is any weak cluster point of $\{x_n\}$. Without loss of generality, we can write $x_n \rightharpoonup p$ as $n \to \infty$. Thus, $y_n \rightharpoonup p$ because $||x_n - y_n|| \to 0$. Now, we show that $p \in EP(f, C)$. From (5), we get

$$\lambda\left(f(y_n, y) - f(y_n, y_{n+1})\right) \geq \langle x_n - y_{n+1}, y - y_{n+1}\rangle, \ \forall y \in C. \tag{24}$$

Passing the limit in (24) as $n \to \infty$ and using Lemma 5.2(ii), the bounedness of $\{y_n\}$ and $\lambda > 0$ we obtain $f(p, y) \geq 0$ for all $y \in C$. Thus, $p \in EP(f, C)$. From the inequality (16), we get

$$||x_n - x_0|| \leq ||x^\dagger - x_0||,$$

where $x^\dagger = P_{EP(f,C)}(x_0)$. By the weak lower semicontinuity of the norm $||.||$ and $x_n \rightharpoonup p$, we have

$$||p - x_0|| \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \inf ||x_n - x_0|| \leq \lim_{n\to\infty} \sup ||x_n - x_0|| \leq ||x^\dagger - x_0||.$$

By the definition of $x^\dagger$, $p = x^\dagger$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - x_0|| = ||x^\dagger - x_0||$. Thus, $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n|| = ||x^\dagger||$. By the Kadec-Klee property of the Hilbert space H, we

have $x_n \to x^\dagger = P_{EP(f,C)}x_0$ as $n \to \infty$. From Lemma 5.2, we also see that $\{y_n\}$ converges strongly to $P_{EP(f,C)}x_0$. Theorem 4.5 is proved. □

5. Applications.

In this section, we introduce several applications of Algorithm 1 to Gato differentiable EPs and multivalued variational inequalities.

5.1. Gato differentiable equilibrium problems.

We consider EPs for Gato differentiable bifunctions. We denote $\nabla_2 f(x,y)$ by the Gato derivative of the function $f(x,.)$ at y. For solving EP (1), we assume that the bifunction f satisfies the following conditions:

(B1). f is monotone on C and $f(x,x) = 0$ for all $x \in C$;
(B2). $f(x,.)$ is convex and Gato differentiable on C;
(B3). There exists a constant $L > 0$ such that

$$||\nabla_2 f(x,x) - \nabla_2 f(y,y)|| \leq L||x-y|| \ \forall x, y \in C;$$

(B4). $\lim\limits_{t\to 0^+} \sup f(x + t(z-x), y) \leq f(x,y)$ for all $x, y \in C$.

Remark 1. If EP (1) is reduced to VIP (2) for the operator $A : C \to H$ then the condition (B3) is equivalent to the Lipschitzianity of A with the constant $L > 0$.

We need the following results.

Lemma 5.1. [18, Lemma 2] *Suppose that the conditions* (B1), (B2), (B4) *hold. Then,*

i. *The operator $A(x) = \nabla_2 f(x,x)$ is monotone on C.*
ii. *$EP(f,C) = VI(A,C)$.*

Lemma 5.2. *Assume that $A : C \to H$ is a L - Lipschitz continuous operator and the bifunction $f : C \times C \to \Re$ is defined by $f(x,y) = \langle A(x), y - x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$. Then*

i. *f is Lipschitz-type continuous on C with $c_1 = c_2 = L/2$.*
ii. *$z = \operatorname{argmin}\limits_{t\in C}\{\lambda f(y,t) + \frac{1}{2}||x-t||^2\}$ if and only if $z = P_C(x - \lambda A(y))$, where $\lambda > 0$.*

Proof. i. From the L - Lipschitz continuity of A, the Cauchy-Schwarz and Cauchy inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x,y) \quad + \quad & f(y,z) - f(x,z) = \langle A(x) - A(y), y - z\rangle \geq -||A(x) - A(y)||||y-z|| \\ \geq \quad & -L||x-y||||y-z|| \geq -\frac{L}{2}||x-y||^2 - \frac{L}{2}||y-z||^2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that f is Lipschitz-type continuous on C with $c_1 = c_2 = L/2$.
ii. From the definition of f, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \operatorname{argmin}_{t\in C}\{\lambda \langle A(y), t - y\rangle + \frac{1}{2}||x-t||^2\} \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{t\in C}\{\frac{1}{2}||t - (x - \lambda A(y))||^2 - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}||A(y)||^2 - \lambda \langle A(y), y - x\rangle\} \\ &= \operatorname{argmin}_{t\in C}\{\frac{1}{2}||t - (x - \lambda A(y))||^2\} \\ &= P_C(x - \lambda A(y)) \end{aligned}$$

in which the third equality is followed from the fact that $\underset{t\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{g(t)+a\} = \underset{t\in C}{\operatorname{argmin}}\{g(t)\}$ and the last equality is true because of the definition of the metric projection. Lemma 5.2 is proved. □

Thanks to Lemma 5.1, instead of EP (1) we solve VIP (2) for the operator $A(x) = \nabla_2 f(x,x)$ onto C. It is emphasized that (B2) and (B3) are slightly strong conditions. However, in this case, we can use the existing methods for VIPs to solve EPs. For instance, using the subgradient extragradient method [7, Algorithm 3.6] we obtain the following hybrid algorithm for solving EP (1)

$$\begin{cases} y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda\nabla_2 f(x_n,x_n)), \\ z_n = \alpha_n x_n + (1-\alpha_n)P_{T_n}(x_n - \lambda\nabla_2 f(y_n,y_n)), \\ C_n = \left\{z\in H : ||z_n - z||^2 \le ||x_n - z||^2\right\}, \\ Q_n = \{z\in H : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \le 0\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n\cap Q_n}(x_0), \end{cases} \tag{25}$$

where $T_n = \{z\in H : \langle x_n - \lambda\nabla_2 f(x_n,x_n) - y_n, z - y_n\rangle \le 0\}$. If the conditions (B1)−(B4) hold for all $x, y \in H$ then $\{x_n\}$ generated by (25) converges strongly to $P_{EP(f,C)}(x_0)$.

In this subsection, we introduce the following strong convergence result.

Theorem 5.3. *Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space H. Assume that the bifunction f satisfies all conditions* (B1) − (B4) *such that $EP(f,C)$ is nonempty. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated by the following manner: $x_0 = x_1 \in H$, $y_0 = y_1 \in C$, $C_0 = Q_0 = H$ and*

$$\begin{cases} y_{n+1} = P_C\left(x_n - \lambda\nabla_2 f(y_n,y_n)\right), \\ C_n = \left\{z\in H : ||y_{n+1} - z||^2 \le ||x_n - z||^2 + \epsilon_n\right\}, \\ Q_n = \left\{z\in H : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \le 0\right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n\cap Q_n}(x_0), \end{cases} \tag{26}$$

where ϵ_n, λ, k are defined as in Algorithm 1 with $c_1 = c_2 = L/2$. Then, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $P_{EP(f,C)}(x_0)$.

Proof. Set $F(x,y) = \langle A(x), y - x\rangle$ for all $x, y \in C$, where $A(x) = \nabla_2 f(x,x)$. Lemma 5.1.ii. ensures that $EP(F,C) = EP(f,C)$. The bifunction F satisfies the conditions (A3) and (A4) automatically. From Lemma 5.1.i., we see that F is monotone, and so it is also pseudomonotone or F satisfies the condition (A1). Lemma 5.2.i. and (B3) ensure that the condition (A2) holds for the bifunction F. From Step 1 of Algorithm 1 and Lemma 5.2.ii., $y_{n+1} = P_C\left(x_n - \lambda\nabla_2 f(y_n,y_n)\right)$. Thus, Theorem 5.3 is directly followed from Theorem 4.5 for $f = F$. □

5.2. **Multivalued variational inequalities.** In this subsection, we consider the following multivalued variational inequality problem (MVIP)

$$\begin{cases} \text{Find } x^*\in C \text{ and } v^*\in A(x^*) \text{ such that} \\ \langle v^*, y - x^*\rangle \ge 0, \forall y\in C, \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

where $A : C \to 2^H$ is a multivalued compact operator. For a pair $x, y \in C$, we put

$$f(x,y) = \sup_{u\in A(x)} \langle u, y - x\rangle. \tag{28}$$

It is easy to show that x^* is a solution of MVIP (27) if and only if x^* is a solution of EP for the bifunction f on C. We recall the following definitions.

Definition 5.4. A multivalued operator $A : C \to 2^H$ is said to be:

i. monotone on C if

$$\langle u - v, x - y\rangle \geq 0 \ \forall x, y \in C, \ \forall u \in A(x), \ \forall v \in A(y);$$

ii. pseudomonotone on C if

$$\langle u, x - y\rangle \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \langle v, y - x\rangle \leq 0 \ \forall x, y \in C, \ \forall u \in A(x), \ \forall v \in A(y);$$

iii. L - Lipschitz continuous if there exists a positive constant L such that

$$\sup_{u \in A(x)} \inf_{v \in A(y)} ||u - v|| \leq L||x - y|| \ \forall x, y \in C.$$

Remark 2. If we denote $h(C_1, C_2)$ by the Hausdorff distance between two sets C_1 and C_2 then the definition iii. means that

$$h(A(x), A(y)) \leq L||x - y|| \ \forall x, y \in C.$$

We can easily check that if A is pseudomonotone and L - Lipschitz continuous then f is also pseudomonotone and Lipschitz-type continuous with two constants $c_1 = c_2 = L/2$. Note that, when A is singlevalued then Algorithm 1 becomes the *hybrid algorithm without the extrapolation step* for variational inequalities [21]. When A is multivalued then Algorithm 1 can be applied for the bifunction f defined by (28). A disadvantage of performing Algorithm 1 in this case is that it is not easy to chose an approximation of the bifunction $f(x, y)$. In fact, we can prove the strong convergence of the following algorithm

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = x_1 \in H, \ y_0 = y_1 \in C, \\ y_{n+1} = P_C\left(x_n - \lambda u_n\right), \ u_n \in A(y_n), \\ C_n = \left\{z \in H : ||y_{n+1} - z||^2 \leq ||x_n - z||^2 + \epsilon_n\right\}, \\ Q_n = \left\{z \in H : \langle x_0 - x_n, z - x_n\rangle \leq 0\right\}, \\ x_{n+1} = P_{C_n \cap Q_n}(x_0), \end{cases} \tag{29}$$

where ϵ_n, λ, k are defined as in Algorithm 1 with $c_1 = c_2 = L/2$.

6. **Numerical examples.** In this section, we consider two previously known academic numberical examples in Euclidean spaces. The purpose of these experiments is to illustrate the convergence of Algorithm 1 and compare its efficiency with Algorithms 3, 4 and 5. Of course, there are many mathematical models for EPs in infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces, see, for instance [2] and the norm convergence of algorithms is more necessary than the weak convergence. The ability of the implementation of these algorithms has been discussed in Sections 1 and 2. Note that Algorithm 4, in general, is difficult to compute numerical experiments because of the complexity of the sets C_n. However, in the examples below, the feasible set C is a polyhedron expressed by $Ax \leq b$, where A is a matrix, b is a vector. Thus, from the definition of C_n in Algorithm 4, we see that it is also a polyhedron and $C_0 = \{x : A_0 x \leq b_0\}$ with $A_0 = A, \ b_0 = b$. After that $C_{n+1} = \{x : A_{n+1} x \leq b_{n+1}\}$ can be sequentially constructed by adding a linear inequality constraint to the set of constraints of C_n. This is performed in MATLAB version 7.0 and the number of constraints increases when n increases. The sets C_n, Q_n in Algorithms 3 and 5 are simply constructed more at each step. While the sets $C_n, \ Q_n$ in Algorithm 1 are

two half-spaces, so we use the explicit formula in [5, 31] to compute x_{n+1}. All convex quadratic optimization programs and the projections on polyhedrons can solved easily by the MALAB Optimization Toolbox where the projections are equivalently rewriten to the distance optimization programs. The algorithms are performed on a PC Desktop Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-3210M CPU @ 2.50GHz 2.50 GHz, RAM 2.00 GB. For a given tolerance TOL, we compare numbers of iterates (Iter.) and execution time (CPU in sec.) of mentioned algorithms above with chosing different starting points.

Example 1. We consider the bifunction $f : C \times C \to \Re$ in $\Re^2$ proposed in [23, Example 3] as $f(x,y) = (x_1+x_2-1)(y_1-x_1)+(x_1+x_2-1)(y_2-x_2)$ and the feasible set $C = [0,1] \times [0,1]$. It is easy to show that f is monotone (so pseudomonotone) and Lipschitz-type continuous with $c_1 = c_2 = 1$. The solution set of EP for f on C is $EP(f,C) = \{x \in C : x_1 + x_2 - 1 = 0\}$. In this example, for a starting point x_0 then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by Algorithms 1, 3, 4 and 5 converges strongly to $x^\dagger := P_{EP(f,C)}(x_0)$ which is easily known because $EP(f,C)$ is explicit. The termination criterion in all algorithms is $||x_n - x^\dagger|| \leq TOL = 0.001$. The parameters are chosen as follows $\lambda = 0.2,\ k = 6,\ \eta = 0.5$. In Algorithm 1, we chose $x_1 = x_0,\ y_0 = y_1 = (0,0)^T$. The results are shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1. Results for given starting points in *Example 1.*

x_0	Iter.				CPU in sec.			
	Alg. 1	Alg. 3	Alg. 4	Alg. 5	Alg. 1	Alg. 3	Alg. 4	Alg. 5
(2,5)	57	123	57	68	1.57	2.58	1.59	1.98
(5,5)	51	95	55	65	1.50	1.58	1.54	1.46
(4,4.5)	54	97	64	65	1.58	1.98	1.80	2.12
(-0.75,0)	54	98	59	65	1.57	2.04	1.75	1.90

Example 2. We consider the pseudomonotone bifunction f which comes from the Nash-Cournot equilibrium model in [28, 33]. It is defined by

$$f(x,y) = \langle Px + Qy + q, y - x\rangle,$$

where $q \in \Re^5$, $P,\ Q \in \Re^{5\times 5}$ are two matrices of order 5 such that Q is symmetric, positive semidefinite and $Q - P$ is negative semidefinite. The feasible set C is a polyhedral convex set defined by

$$C = \left\{ x \in \Re^5 : \sum_{i=1}^{5} x_i \geq -1,\ -5 \leq x_i \leq 5,\ i = 1,\ldots,5 \right\}.$$

This example is tested with $q = (1,-2,-1,2,-1)^T$,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 3.1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 3.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 3.5 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 3.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix},\ Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1.6 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1.5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1.5 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Two Lipschitz-type constants are $c_1 = c_2 = ||P - Q||/2$. The parameters are $\lambda = \frac{1}{5c_1},\ k = 6,\ \eta = 0.5$. We also chose $x_1 = x_0,$ and $y_1 = y_0 = (0,0,0,0,0)^T$ in Algorithm 1. In this example, the exact solution is not known. Thus, the stopping criterion is used in the algorithms as $||y_n - x_n|| \leq TOL = 0.001$. Table 2

shows the results for chosing different starting points as $x_0^1 = (1, 3, 1, 1, 2)^T$, $x_0^2 = (-3, 4, 1, -5, 6)^T$, $x_0^3 = (3, -2, 1, 9, -8)^T$, $x_0^4 = (-2, 3, -1, 8, 8)^T$.

TABLE 2. Results for given starting points in *Example 2*.

x_0	Iter.				CPU in sec.			
	Alg. 1	Alg. 3	Alg. 4	Alg. 5	Alg. 1	Alg. 3	Alg. 4	Alg. 5
x_0^1	225	1022	958	798	7.10	24.33	23.53	47.36
x_0^2	369	1666	1021	1187	11.25	34.92	33.01	44.71
x_0^3	435	2854	923	1226	15.22	66.53	39.99	42.13
x_0^4	411	2385	1501	1228	12.01	37.55	41.54	64.01

Although, the study of the numerical examples here is preliminary and it is clear that EP depends on the structure of the feasible set C and the bifunction f. However, the results in Tables 1 and 2 show the convergence of our proposed algorithm and compare its efficiency with the others.

7. **Concluding remarks.** The paper proposes a novel algorithm for solving EPs for a class of pseudomonotone and Lipschitz-type continuous bifunctions. By constructing the specially cutting halfspaces, we have designed the algorithm without the extra-steps. This is the reason which explains why our algorithm can be considered as an improvement of some previously known algorithms. The strong convergence of the algorithm is proved and its efficiency is illustrated by some numerical experiments. It is also emphasized that we still have to solve exactly an optimization problem in each step. This, in general, is a disadvantage of the algorithm (also, of the extragradient methods and the Armijo linesearch methods) when equilibrium bifunctions and feasible sets have complex structures. However, contrary to several previous algorithms, our algorithm does not only avoid using the extra-steps which, in general, are inherently costly but also is numerically easer at its last step because the projection is only performed onto the intersection of two half-spaces. The paper also help us in the design and analysis of more practical algorithms to be seen. Finally, it seems to be that the algorithm also has competitive advantage.

REFERENCES

[1] Anh, P.K., Hieu, D.V.: Parallel hybrid methods for variational inequalities, equilibrium problems and common fixed point problems. Vietnam J. Math. (2015), DOI:10.1007/s10013-015-0129-z

[2] Blum, E., Oettli, W.: From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, Math. Program. **63**, 123-145 (1994)

[3] Ceng, L. C., Hadjisavvas, N., Wong, N. C.: Strong convergence theorem by a hybrid extragradient-like approximation method for variational inequalities and fixed point problems. J. Glob. Optim. **46**, 635–646 (2010)

[4] Ceng, L.C., Yao, J.C.: An extragradient-like approximation method for variational inequality problems and fixed point problems. Appl. Math. C omput. **190**, 205-215 (2007)

[5] Combettes, P. L., Hirstoaga, S. A.: Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces. J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. **6**(1), 117-136 (2005)

[6] Censor,Y., Gibali, A., Reich, S.: The subgradient extragradient method for solving variational inequalities in Hilbert space. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **148**(2), 318-335 (2011)

[7] Censor, Y., Gibali, A., Reich, S.: Strong convergence of subgradient extragradient methods for the variational inequality problem in Hilbert space, Optim. Methods Softw. **26**(4-5), 827-845 (2011)

[8] Daniele, P., Giannessi, F., Maugeri, A.: Equilibrium problems and variational models, Kluwer, (2003)
[9] Dinh, B.V., Hung, P.G., Muu, L.D.: Bilevel optimization as a regularization approach to pseudomonotone equilibrium problems. Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. **35** (5), 539–563 (2014)
[10] Goebel, K., Reich, S.: Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings. Marcel Dekker, New York (1984)
[11] Goebel, K., Kirk, W.A.: Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Math., vol. **28**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1990)
[12] Hieu, D. V.: A parallel hybrid method for equilibrium problems, variational inequalities and nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space. J. Korean Math. Soc. **52**, 373-388 (2015)
[13] Hieu, D. V.: The common solutions to pseudomonotone equilibrium problems. Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. (2015) (accepted for publication)
[14] He, B .-S., Yang, Z.-H., Yu an, X.-M.: An approximate proximal-extragradient type method for monotone variational inequalities. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **300**, 362–374 (2004)
[15] Fan, K.: A minimax inequality and applications. In: Shisha, O. (ed.) Inequality III, pp. 103-113. Academic Press, New York (1972)
[16] Konnov, I.V.: Combined Relaxation Methods for Variational Inequalities. Springer, Berlin (2000)
[17] Korpelevich, G. M.: The extragradient method for finding saddle points and other problems, Ekonomikai Matematicheskie Metody. **12**, 747-756 (1976)
[18] Lyashko, S. I., Semenov, V. V. and Voitova T. A.: Low-cost modification of Korpelevich's methods for monotone equilibrium problems, Cybernetics and Systems Analysis, **47**(4), 146-154 (2011)
[19] Mastroeni, G.: On auxiliary principle for equilibrium problems. Publ. Dipart. Math. Univ. Pisa. **3**, 1244–1258 (2000)
[20] Muu, L.D., Oettli, W.: Convergence of an adative penalty scheme for finding constrained equilibria. Nonlinear Anal. TMA **18**(12), 1159-1166 (1992)
[21] Yu. V. Malitsky, V. V. Semenov: A hybrid method without extrapolation step for solving variational inequality problems. J. Glob. Optim. **61**, 193-202 (2015)
[22] Martinet, B.: Régularisation d´inéquations variationelles par approximations successives. *Rev. Fr. Autom. Inform. Rech. Opér., Anal. Numér.* **4**, 154–159 (1970)
[23] Mordukhovich, B., Panicucci, B., Pappalardo, M., Passacantando, M.: Hybrid proximal methods for equilibrium problems. Optim. Lett. **6**, 1535-1550 (2012)
[24] Nadezhkina, N., Takahashi, W.: Strong convergence theorem by a hybrid method for nonexpansive mappings and Lipschitz-continuous monotone mappings. SIAM J. Optim. **16**, 1230-1241 (2006)
[25] Nadezhkina, N., Takahashi, W.: Weak convergence theorem by an extragradient method for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **128**, 191-201 (2006)
[26] Nguyen, T. T. V., Strodiot, J. J., Nguyen, V. H.: Hybrid methods for solving simultaneously an equilibrium problem and countably many fixed point problems in a Hilbert space. J. Optim. Theory Appl. (2013) DOI 10.1007/s10957-013-0400-y
[27] Nguyen, T. P. D, Strodiot, J. J., Nguyen, V. H., Nguyen, T. T. V.: A family of extragradient methods for solving equilibrium problems. Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization. 11(2), 619-630 (2015). doi:10.3934/jimo.2015.11.619
[28] Quoc, T.D., Muu, L.D., Hien, N.V.: Extragradient algorithms extended to equilibrium problems. Optimization **57**, 749-776 (2008)
[29] Rockafellar, R.T.: Convex Analysis. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1970
[30] Rockafellar, R.T.: Monotone operators and the proximal point algorithm. SIAM J. Control Optim. **14**, 877–898 (1976)
[31] Solodov, M. V., Svaiter, B. F.:Forcing strong convergence of proximal point iterations in Hilbert space. Math. Program. **87**, 189-202 (2000)
[32] Solodov, M. V, Svaiter, B. F.: A new projection method for variational inequality problems. SIAM J. Control. Optim. **37**, 765–776 (1999)
[33] Strodiot, J. J., Nguyen, T. T. V, Nguyen, V. H.: A new class of hybrid extragradient algorithms for solving quasi-equilibrium problems, J. Glob. Optim. **56** (2), 373-397 (2013)
[34] Takahashi, S., Takahashi, W.: Viscosity approximation methods for equilibrium problems and fixed point in Hilbert space. J. Math. Anal. Appl. **331**(1), 506-515 (2007)

[35] Takahashi, W., Toyoda, M.: Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **118**, 417–428 (2003)
[36] Vuong, P. T., Strodiot, J. J., Nguyen, V. H.: Extragradient methods and linesearch algorithms for solving Ky Fan inequalities and fixed point problems. J. Optim. Theory Appl. **155**, 605–627 (2012)
[37] Jaiboon, C., Kumam, P.: Strong convergence theorems for solving equilibrium problems and fixed point problems of ξ -strict pseudo-contraction mappings by two hybrid projection methods. J. Comput. Appl. Math. **234**, 722-732 (2010)

E-mail address: dv.hieu83@gmail.com